# Electronic structure of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  studied by photoemission spectroscopy and unrestricted **Hartree-Fock calculations**

K. Ikedo, Y. Wakisaka, and T. Mizokawa

*Department of Physics and Department of Complexity Science and Engineering, University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8561, Japan*

C. Iwai, K. Miyoshi, and J. Takeuchi

*Department of Material Science, Shimane University, Matsue 690-8504, Japan*

(Received 1 March 2010; revised manuscript received 23 June 2010; published 13 August 2010)

We report on an electronic structural study of  $Li_xCoO_2$  single crystals  $(x=0.99, 0.71, 0.66,$  and 0.46) which have hole-doped CoO<sub>2</sub> triangular lattices. The valence-band photoemission spectra show that the Fermi level is located near the top of the Co 3*d*  $t_{2g}$  bands and that, by the reduction in *x*, the Co 3*d*  $t_{2g}$  peak is shifted to the lower binding-energy side. This energy shift is consistent with the chemical-potential shift by the hole doping to the  $t_{2g}$  bands. The fine structures near the Fermi level indicate the splitting of the  $t_{2g}$  bands into the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  components. The electronic structure parameters such as the charge-transfer energy  $\Delta$  are obtained by the cluster-model analysis of the Co 2*p* core-level spectra. The unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculation using the obtained parameter values predicts that the doped holes are accommodated by the *a*1*<sup>g</sup>* band up to the doping level *x* of 0.46 which is consistent with the observation in the valence-band spectra. However, the valence-band spectra cannot be reproduced by the unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculation indicating that the correlation effect from the electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions is substantial in  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ .

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.075126](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.075126)

 $: 71.28.+d, 79.60- i$ 

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Layered cobaltes with  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  planes, in which Co ions form two-dimensional triangular lattices with edge-sharing  $CoO<sub>6</sub>$  octahedra, are known as cathode materials for rechargeable batteries, large thermoelectric materials, and superconductors. Among them,  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  has been widely studied for the practical use as a positive electrode material in commercial Li ion batteries.<sup>1</sup> Rechargeable batteries using  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  cathods exhibit the highest performance among bat-teries using similar transition-metal oxides.<sup>1–[4](#page-5-1)</sup>  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  with the layered  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> structure belongs to the rhombohedral system (space group  $R\overline{3}m$ ) and has the CoO<sub>2</sub> layers and the interlayers of Li ions which are alternating stacked along the *c* axis.<sup>[5](#page-5-2)</sup> The Li ions occupy the octahedral sites between the  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  layers. On the other hand,  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  has a similar structure with different staking sequence of oxygen atom layers, where the Na ions occupy the prismatic sites with two  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  sheets per unit cell.  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  has been intensively investigated originally due to good thermoelectric properties $6-8$ and recently due to the superconductivity in the hydrated compound  $\text{Na}_{0.35}\text{CoO}_2 \cdot 1.3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  below  $T_c \sim 5$  K.<sup>[9](#page-5-5)</sup> Indeed,  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  has been found to exhibit various interesting low temperature properties with changing Na content *x*, such as metal-insulator transition  $(x=0.5)$ , <sup>[10](#page-5-6)</sup> heavy-mass Fermiliquid behavior  $(x \sim 0.75)$ ,<sup>[11](#page-5-7)</sup> and spin-density wave (SDW) state  $(x \ge 0.75).^{12}$  $(x \ge 0.75).^{12}$  $(x \ge 0.75).^{12}$ 

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) studies<sup>13[,14](#page-5-10)</sup> and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy studies $15-17$  $15-17$  on the hole-doped  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  triangular lattices revealed that both  $Co<sup>3+</sup>$  and  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  commonly have the low-spin configuration  $(t_{2g}^6$  and  $t_{2g}^5$ , respectively) at low temperatures. The triply degenerate  $t_{2g}$  states are further split into the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_g$  states due to the trigonal ligand field. By changing the angle between the x-ray polarization vector and the surface normal *c*

axis), the angular dependence of the XAS intensity was observed which gives information about the hole distribution between the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  orbitals. However, it is still controversial whether the  $e'_g$  orbitals accommodate the doped holes in superconducting  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  or not. Compared with  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ , the electronic structure of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  has not been studied well although it is widely used in commercial Li ion batteries. For better understanding of the electronic properties of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  as well as  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ , it is worthy to investigate the electronic structure of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  and compare it with that of  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ .

For stoichiometric LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, magnetic-susceptibility  $(\chi)$ measurements,<sup>18</sup> x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis, $19$  and band-structural calculations<sup>20</sup> indicate that  $Co^{3+}$  ions are in the low-spin state  $(t_{2g}^6)$  with  $S=0$  at ambient temperature.  $\chi$  and NMR experiments down to 4.2 K (Ref. [21](#page-5-16)) confirmed that  $LiCoO<sub>2</sub>$  does not exhibit any magnetic transitions at low temperatures. Although  $LiCoO<sub>2</sub>$  is known to be an insulator, Li deficient  $Li_xCoO_2$  for  $0.5 \le x \le 1$ , in which Li ions are removed/deintercalated by an electrochemical reaction similar to that in Li ion batteries, exhibits metallic behavior. An insulator-to-metal transition was found at around  $x=0.95$  by resistivity ( $\rho$ ) measurements. The value of  $\rho$  at 275 K gradually decreases with further lowering  $x^{22}$  $x^{22}$  $x^{22}$ Hertz *et al.*<sup>[23](#page-5-18)</sup> show that the low-temperature specific-heat data for  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  samples are well explained by the hole doping in the triply degenerate  $t_{2g}$  states with decreasing  $x$ because Li deintercalation increases the Co valence, i.e., the population of  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  ions. Considering that  $\rho$  was measured using polycrystalline samples, the transport properties of  $Li_{0.7}CoO_2$  seem to be almost comparable to those of  $Na<sub>0.7</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ , which is known to be a good thermoelectric material[.9,](#page-5-5)[24](#page-5-19)[,25](#page-5-20)

As for the magnetic properties of the doped systems, in  $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2$  with  $x \ge 0.75$ , a long-range magnetic order, which is clearly incommensurate SDW in single crystalline samples, was found at low temperatures by positive muon spin rotation and relaxation  $(\mu^+$ SR) experiments.<sup>26,[27](#page-5-22)</sup> The existence of long-range magnetic order in  $Na<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  was reconfirmed later by not only  $\mu$ <sup>+</sup>SR (Ref. [28](#page-5-23)) but also neutrondiffraction experiments.<sup>29</sup> On the other hand, magnetic properties of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  are not fully understood yet. The magnetism of  $Li_xCoO_2$  polycrystalline samples with  $0.1 \le x \le 1$ has been investigated by  $\mu$ <sup>+</sup>SR and  $\chi$  measurements.<sup>30[,31](#page-5-26)</sup> Mukai *et al.*<sup>[31](#page-5-26)</sup> show the temperature dependence of  $\chi$  for  $Li_xCoO_2$  with  $x \ge 0.1$ . For LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, the  $\chi(T)$  curve increases very slowly with decreasing temperature down to  $\sim$  50 K and then increases more rapidly below 50 K, indicating the appearance of localized moments. For  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  with 0.49  $\leq$ *x*  $\leq$  0.75,  $\chi$  increases linearly but slowly down to  $\sim$ 175 K  $(=T_m)$  then suddenly drops at  $T_m$  and reaches a broad minimum at  $\sim$ 100 K, and finally  $\chi$  increases with further decreasing *T*. For  $Li_xCoO_2$  with  $x \le 0.44$ ,  $T_m$  disappears from the  $\chi(T)$  curve, and it also exhibits a Pauli-paramagnetic behavior down to  $\sim$  100 K for *x*=0.44 and down to  $\sim$  50 K for  $x=0.1$ . These results indicate that the spin state of  $\text{Co}^{4+}$  ions may change from the high-temperature high-spin state  $(t_{2g}^3 e_g^2)$  $S=5/2$ ) to the low-temperature low-spin state  $(t_{2g}^5, S=\overline{1}^2/2)$ or the mixture of low-spin and intermediate-spin  $(t_{2g}^4 e_g^1, S)$  $= 3/2$ ) states. In addition,  $\chi(T)$  has been measured for  $Li_xCoO_2(x=0.92, 0.47,$  and 0.42) using single crystalline samples.<sup>32</sup> It has been found that, both for  $x=0.47$  and 0.42, the  $\chi(T)$  curve exhibits a sudden drop at  $T_m \sim 170$  K, suggesting the occurrence of the spin state transition at  $T_m$ .

In this work, we have studied the electronic structure of Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub> single crystals with  $x=0.99, 0.71, 0.66,$  and 0.46 using XPS, ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS), and subsequent model calculations. The XPS results provide important pieces of information to understand the interesting magnetic properties of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ . The UPS results show a systematic evolution of electronic states as a function of hole concentration *x*. The present photoemission study has revealed the electronic structure of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  which is the basis of the Li ion battery and is useful for more systematic understanding of hole-doped  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  triangular lattices.

#### **II. EXPERIMENT AND CALCULATION**

Single crystals of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  with  $x=0.99, 0.71, 0.66$ , and 0.46 were prepared as reported by Miyoshi *et al.*[32](#page-5-27)[,33](#page-5-28) The XPS measurements were performed using a JPS-9200 spectrometer equipped with a monochromatized Al  $K\alpha$  x-ray source  $(h\nu= 1486.6 \text{ eV})$  at 300 and 20 K. The total-energy resolution was 0.6 eV. The binding energy was calibrated using gold reference samples with the Au  $4f_{7/2}$  peak at 84.0 eV. The UPS data were taken using a SES-100 spectrometer with a He I source  $(h\nu=21.2 \text{ eV})$  at 20 K. The total-energy resolution was 30 meV. The binding energy was calibrated using the Fermi edge of gold reference samples. The base pressure of the spectrometer was in the  $10^{-7}$  Pa range. The single crystals were cleaved *in situ* in order to obtain clean surfaces.

The density of states (DOS) and the band dispersions considering the  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  layers were calculated by the unrestricted

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Co 2*p* XPS spectra of  $Li_xCoO_2$  (*x*  $= 0.99, 0.71, 0.66,$  and  $0.46$ ) taken at 300 K. The three solid curves indicate the result of the cluster-model calculation, the reference spectra of  $SrCo<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3</sub>$  (Ref. [38](#page-5-30)) and LiCo<sup>3+</sup>O<sub>2</sub> (Ref. [19](#page-5-14)), respectively. (b) The closeup of the satellite region.

Hartree-Fock approach with the  $d$ -p Hamiltonian.<sup>34</sup> Various parameters were determined by the cluster-model calculation of the Co  $2p$  XPS spectra of the same samples.  $\Delta$ , *U*, and  $(pd\sigma)$  were found to be 1.0 eV, 6.5 eV, and  $-2.2$  eV, respectively. Here, the ratio  $(p d\sigma) / (p d\pi)$  is -2.16. Remaining transfer integrals expressed by  $(pp\sigma)$ ,  $(pp\pi)$ ,  $(dd\sigma)$ , and  $(dd\pi)$  are fixes at  $-0.6$  eV, 0.15 eV,  $-0.3$  eV, and 0.15 eV, respectively, for the undistorted lattice with the regular  $CoO<sub>6</sub>$ octahedron. When the lattice is distorted, the transfer integrals are scaled using Harrison's law. Here  $\Delta$  denotes the charge-transfer energy for Co<sup>3+</sup> or specifically  $\Delta = \epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$  $+6U$ . From a detailed structural report,<sup>23</sup> the average Co-Co and Co-O distances in the triangular lattice layers of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ are estimated as 2.82 Å and 1.92 Å, respectively, which correspond to the ratio *R* of 0.89 (meaning that the  $CoO<sub>6</sub>$ octahedron is compressed along the *c* axis with keeping its Co-Co distance until its thickness reaches 89% from its original one).

## **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figures [1](#page-1-0) and [2](#page-2-0) show the Co  $2p$  XPS spectra of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  $(x=0.99, 0.71, 0.66, and 0.46)$  taken at 300 K and 20 K,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Co 2*p* XPS spectra of  $Li_xCoO_2$  (*x*  $= 0.99, 0.71, 0.66,$  and  $0.46$ ) taken at 20 K. The solid curve indicates the result of the cluster-model calculation. (b) The closeup of the satellite region.

respectively. Basically, the line shape of the Co 2*p* spectra is consistent with the low-spin configurations[.18](#page-5-13)[–20](#page-5-15) Both at 300 and 20 K, the main peaks of the Co  $2p_{3/2}$  and Co  $2p_{1/2}$  spectra become broader with decreasing *x* due to the tailing on the higher binding-energy side. The tailing of the main peak is related to the  $Co^{3+}/Co^{4+}$  mixed-valence nature and/or the metallic nature of the ground state. The recent study on the magnetic and electronic properties of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  strongly indicates that the  $Co^{3+}/Co^{4+}$  ordering and the metallic behavior coexist below  $T_s \sim 155 \text{ K.}^{33}$  Even above the Co<sup>3+</sup>/Co<sup>4+</sup> ordering temperature, the Co sites can have short-range correlation of  $Co^{3+}/Co^{4+}$  mixed valence. In the mixed-valence picture, the tailing of the main peak at 20 and 300 K can be attributed to the  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  component with the binding energy higher than the  $Co<sup>3+</sup>$  component. This picture is consistent with the fact that the tailing effect increases with decreasing Li content *x*. In addition, the core-hole screening effect due to the metallic component can contribute to the tailing of the main peak. Probably, in the present  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ , both the metallic state and the  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  component contribute to the tailing of the Co 2*p* main peaks.

The intensity and the position of the charge-transfer satellite for  $x=0.99$  can be analyzed by the configurationinteraction calculation on the  $CoO<sub>6</sub>$  cluster model. Here, we assume that the Co  $2p$  spectrum for  $x=0.99$  is dominated by the low-spin  $Co^{3+}$  contribution. With  $\Delta = 1.0$  eV, *U*  $= 6.5$  eV, and  $(p d\sigma) = -2.2$  eV, the calculated spectrum can explain the energy position and the intensity of the satellite structure as shown in Figs. [1](#page-1-0) and [2.](#page-2-0) Although the Co  $2p_{3/2}$ 

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Co 2p XPS spectra of  $Li_xCoO_2$  taken at 300 and 20 K for (a)  $x=0.99$ , (b) 0.71, (c) 0.66, and (d) 0.46.

and Co  $2p_{1/2}$  main peaks can be affected by the long-range screening process beyond the  $CoO<sub>6</sub>$  cluster<sup>35</sup> that is not included in the present single-site cluster model calculation, the overall Co 2*p* spectrum is well reproduced by the calculation except the line shape of the main peaks. Therefore,  $\Delta$ , U, and  $(pd\sigma)$  obtained by the single-site cluster-model analysis are reliable as shown in various transition-metal oxides.<sup>36[,37](#page-5-33)</sup>

Since the charge-transfer satellite is given by the local charge-transfer screening process within the  $CoO<sub>6</sub>$  cluster, the energy position, and the intensity of the satellite for the  $Co<sup>3+</sup>$  component are expected to be insensitive to the Li content *x*. Actually, at 20 K, the energy position and the intensity of the satellite do not change appreciably with *x*. However, the binding energy of the satellite is slightly lowered with decreasing *x*. Since the satellite structure of  $SrCo<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3</sub>$  is very broad and is located at the lower binding-energy side of that in  $LiCoO<sub>2</sub>$ , <sup>[19](#page-5-14)[,38](#page-5-30)</sup> this observation suggests that the satellite for the  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  component becomes important with decreasing *x*. Interestingly, at 300 K, the binding energy of the satellite is lowered in going from  $x=0.99$  to  $x=0.66$  and then increased from  $x=0.66$  to  $x=0.46$ , suggesting that, even at 300 K, the  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  state tends to be stabilized due to commensurate charge ordering expected for  $x=0.66$ . The temperature dependence of the Co 2*p* spectra for *x*= 0.99, 0.71, 0.66, and 0.46 are displayed in Fig. [3.](#page-2-1) The Co 2*p* peaks of *x*= 0.99, 0.71, and 0.46 are shifted to the higher binding-energy side with increasing temperature, which is consistent with the upward chemical-potential shift expected for metals with *p*-type carriers. Interestingly, the energy shift between 20 and 300 K is suppressed at  $x=0.66$ . This observation can be related to stability of the  $Co^{3+}/Co^{4+}$  charge ordering at  $x=0.66$ . In addition, the line shape of the main peak has strong temperature dependence in the heavily hole-doped sample with  $x=0.46$ , indicating that core-hole screening effect due to the metallic component becomes important at *x*= 0.46.

Figure [4](#page-3-0) shows the valence-band UPS spectra of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ taken at 20 K. The Co 3*d*  $t_{2g}$  peaks of  $Li_xCoO_2$  with *x*  $= 0.99, 0.71, 0.66,$  and 0.46 are observed in the binding energy range from 0.5 to 1.5 eV. With decreasing *x*, the position of the Co 3*d*  $t_{2g}$  peak is shifted to the lower bindingenergy side. The energy shift of the  $t_{2g}$  peak as a function of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 4. (Color online) Valence-band UPS spectra of  $Li_xCoO_2$  $(x=0.99, 0.71, 0.66, \text{ and } 0.46)$  at 20 K.

*x* can be assigned to the chemical-potential shift caused by the hole doping in the  $t_{2g}$  band. The chemical potential or the Fermi level is shifted to the higher binding-energy side with decreasing *x* which is consistent with the hole doping in the  $t_{2g}$  band.

Figure [5](#page-3-1) shows the valence-band UPS spectra near the Fermi level of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  taken at 20 K. The valence band of  $Li_{0.99}CoO_2$  does not reach the Fermi level, indicating that it is an insulator. On the other hand, the valence bands of  $Li_{0.71}CoO_2$ ,  $Li_{0.66}CoO_2$ , and  $Li_{0.46}CoO_2$  reach the Fermi levels, showing that they are metals. The shoulder at  $\sim 0.2$  eV gradually moves to the Fermi level with decreasing *x*. It is possible to assign the shoulder to the  $e'_{g}$  bands which are split from the  $t_{2g}$  bands due to the trigonal ligand field. In this picture, the electronic states at the Fermi level are dominated by the  $a_{1g}$  band. The  $e'_g$  bands become closer to the Fermi level by the hole doping and emerge more clearly in the photoemission spectra with decreasing *x*. In case of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ , the  $e'_{g}$  bands do not reach the Fermi level up to the doping level *x* of 0.46.

Figure [6](#page-3-2) shows the band dispersion for  $x=0.99$   $(N_k)$  $(17.99)$  and  $x = 0.46$   $(N_k = 17.46)$  obtained from the unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculations using the parameters of the cluster-model analysis. Here, we have employed the multiband *d*-*p* model and performed the unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculations.  $N_k$  is the number of occupied states at each momentum point with *k*. As estimated from the clustermodel analysis of the Co 2p spectrum,  $\Delta$ , U, and  $(p d\sigma)$  for Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub> are 1.0 eV, 6.5 eV, and  $-2.2$  eV, respectively,

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

FIG. 5. (Color online) Valence-band UPS spectra of  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  $(x=0.99, 0.71, 0.66,$  and 0.46) near Fermi level at 20 K.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

FIG. 6. (Color online) Band dispersions along the  $\Gamma$ -K directions for the hole-doped  $t_{2g}$  bands in the CoO<sub>2</sub> triangular lattice using unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculation for (a)  $N_k$ = 17.99 and (b)  $N_k = 17.46$ .

whose values are more or less consistent with the chemical trend obtained in various transition-metal compounds. For  $N_k$ = 17.99, the Fermi level is located at the top of the  $a_{1g}$ band due to the 0.01 hole doping per Co. In going from  $N_k$ = 17.99 to  $N_k$ = 17.46, the  $a_{1g}$  band is shifted to the higher energy and more holes are accommodated by the  $a_{1g}$  band. Even for  $N_k$ = 17.46, the top of the  $e'_g$  bands is still located at  $\sim$ 0.2 eV below the Fermi level, which is consistent with the UPS result for  $x=0.46$ . Similar trends are also reported for  $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2$ .<sup>[39](#page-5-34)[,40](#page-5-35)</sup> Here, it should be pointed out that the avoided crossing is negligibly small for *x*= 0.99 while it increases with decreasing *x*. [41](#page-5-36)

In order to examine the positions of the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  components in  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ , the valence-band UPS spectra are compared with the DOS calculated by the unrestricted Hartree-Fock method in Fig. [7.](#page-3-3) The calculated DOS is convoluted with a Lorentzian function with full width of half maximum of 50 meV. First, the experimental UPS spectra show single broad Co  $t_{2g}$  peaks in contrast to the calculated DOS with multiple sharp peaks. The broadening effects are probably due to the electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions

<span id="page-3-3"></span>

FIG. 7. (Color online) Valence-band UPS spectra of Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub> at 20 K compared with the calculated DOS for (a)  $x=0.46$ , (b)  $x = 0.46$  $x = 0.66$ , (c)  $x = 0.71$ , and (d)  $x = 0.99$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 8. (Color online) Chemical-potential shift  $\Delta \mu$  as a function of hole concentration in the  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  triangular lattice. The closed diamonds (open circles) indicate  $\Delta \mu$  obtained from the experimental (calculated) results.

that are not considered in the calculation and would be important to reproduce the experimental results. Second, the experimental result for  $x=0.99$  is shifted to the higher binding-energy side compared with the calculated DOS. The energy shift can be assigned to the strong electron-phonon interaction. The line shape of the  $t_{2g}$  bands for  $x=0.99$  reminds us the polaronic effect in the photoemission spectrum which can be demonstrated in the simple model with a localized electron of energy interacting with Einstein phonons of frequency  $\omega$ . In this picture, the Fermi level basically corresponds to the zero-phonon peak position and the main  $t_{2g}$ peak is located at  $g\omega$  below the Fermi level, where  $g$  is the coupling constant and  $\omega$  is the frequency of the phonon. At  $x=0.99$ , the spectral weight of the zero-phonon peak or the quasiparticle peak is negligibly small, indicating that the quasiparticle renormalization due to the electron-phonon coupling is very large. In addition to the main  $t_{2g}$  peak probably derived from the strong electron-phonon interaction, spectral features near the Fermi level are observed for *x*  $= 0.71$ , 0.66, and 0.46 which correspond to the quasiparticle spectral weight. At  $x=0.71$ , 0.66, and 0.46, the quasiparticle spectral weight is still small compared to the main  $t_{2g}$  peak in the binding energy range from 0.5 to 1.5 eV. The position of  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  bands and the chemical-potential shift  $(\Delta \mu)$  with  $\dot{x}$  for  $\dot{x} = 0.71$ , 0.66, and 0.46 are roughly reproduced by the unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculations. As for the position of  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  bands, the shoulder at the lower binding-energy side of Co  $3d$   $t_{2g}$  peak observed for  $x=0.71$ , 0.66, and 0.46 can be assigned to the  $e'_g$  bands located below the  $a_{1g}$  band as discussed in the previous paragraph. The splitting between the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  bands is reproduced by the calculations for  $x=0.71$ , 0.66, and 0.46. Although the band shape depends on the doping level and the rigid-band condition is not exactly satisfied, the chemical-potential shift  $\Delta \mu$  can be estimated from the energy shift of the  $a_{1g}$  peak. Figure [8](#page-4-0) shows the comparison between the calculated  $\Delta \mu$  and the observed  $\Delta \mu$ determined from the energy shift of the  $t_{2g}$  peak. The  $\Delta \mu$  as a function of *x* is almost consistent with that of the calculated result for  $x \le 0.71$ . It is interesting that  $\Delta \mu$  qualitatively agrees with the unrestricted Hartree-Fock result although the  $t_{2g}$  bands are likely to be strongly renormalized by the electron-phonon interaction in terms of the small quasiparticle spectral weight.

The electron-phonon coupling effect in the multiband system has been studied for Mn oxides.<sup>42</sup> In the insulating case  $(x=0.99)$ , the quasiparticle spectral weight *z* for the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  bands is negligibly small and the two bands cannot be detected separately, consistent with the theory for Mn oxides.<sup>42</sup> On the other hand, in the metallic case  $(x=0.71)$ , 0.66, and 0.46), the relatively large chemical-potential shift indicates that the renormalization effect would be moderate and that the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  bands can be resolved. The relationship between the quasiparticle spectral weight and the chemical-potential shift under the presence of strong electron-phonon interaction has been studied in the context of high-*Tc* cuprates. In the underdoped regime of  $Ca_{2-x}Na_xCuO_2Cl_2$ <sup>[43](#page-5-38)</sup> the quasiparticle spectral weight *z* is moderately reduced from 1 and the chemical-potential shift is given by the velocity of the quasiparticle band. While the quasiparticle spectral weight is consistent with the band velocity in the cuprates, the quasiparticle spectral weight *z* of the hole-doped  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  plane is very small compared to the velocity of the quasiparticle band. For example, Nicolaou *et*  $al<sup>44</sup>$  $al<sup>44</sup>$  $al<sup>44</sup>$  have shown that the quasiparticle spectral weight *z* is as small as  $0.15$  in the hole-doped  $CoO<sub>2</sub>$  plane while the velocity of the quasiparticle band is comparable to the prediction of the band-structure calculation. In the present study, the chemical-potential shift of the metallic region agrees with the prediction of the Hartree-Fock calculation, indicating that the relationship between the mass renormalization and the chemical-potential shift in  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$  is a difficult issue compared to that in the cuprates.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

We have performed XPS and UPS experiments on  $Li_xCoO_2$  single crystals ( $x=0.99, 0.71, 0.66,$  and 0.46) which have hole-doped CoO2 triangular lattices. The Co 2*p* XPS spectra have the charge-transfer satellite structure which is insensitive to *x* except the spectrum taken at 300 K for *x* = 0.66 and show that the Co ion basically takes the low-spin configurations. The electronic-structure parameters such as the charge-transfer energy  $\Delta$  are obtained by the cluster model analysis of the Co 2*p* core-level spectra. The valenceband UPS spectra show that the Fermi level is located near the top of the Co 3*d*  $t_{2g}$  bands and is shifted to the lower energy side with decreasing *x*. The fine structures near the Fermi level are consistent with the splitting of the  $t_{2g}$  band into the  $a_{1g}$  and  $e'_{g}$  components. The unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculation using the parameter values from the clustermodel analysis predicts that the doped holes are accommodated by the  $a_{1g}$  state up to the doping level *x* of 0.46. This prediction is consistent with the valence-band UPS spectra and the unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculation can describe the orbital population of the ground state. However, the line shape of the valence-band spectra cannot be reproduced by the density of states by the unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculation indicating that the correlation effect from the electronelectron and electron-phonon interactions is strong in  $Li<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>$ .

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to thank A. Kakizaki and H. Taki-

gawa for valuable comments. This work was supported in part by the Global COE Program "the Physical Sciences Frontier," MEXT, Japan.

- <span id="page-5-0"></span><sup>1</sup>K. Mizushima, P. C. Jones, P. J. Wiseman, and J. B. Good-enough, [Mater. Res. Bull.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(80)90012-4) **15**, 783 (1980).
- 2E. Plichta, S. Slane, M. Uchiyama, M. Salomon, D. Chua, W. B. Ebner, and H. W. Lin, [J. Electrochem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/1.2097063) **136**, 1865 (1989).
- <sup>3</sup>H. F. Gibbard, [J. Power Sources](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-7753(89)80017-5) **26**, 81 (1989).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>4T. Nagura and K. Tazawa, Prog. Batteries Sol. Cells **9**, 20  $(1990).$
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>5X. Wang, I. Loa, K. Kunc, K. Syassen, and M. Amboage, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.224102) Rev. B **72**[, 224102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.224102) (2005).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span><sup>6</sup> J. Molenda, C. Delmas, P. Dordor, and A. Stoklosa, [Solid State](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(84)90180-2) [Ionics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(84)90180-2) **12**, 473 (1984).
- ${}^{7}$ H. Yakabe, K. Kikuchi, I. Terasaki, Y. Sasago, and K. Uchinokura, *Proceedings of 16th International Conference on Thermoelectrics*, Dresden, 1997 (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Piscataway, 1997), pp. 523–527.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span><sup>8</sup> I. Terasaki, Y. Sasago, and K. Uchinokura, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R12685) **56**, [R12685](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R12685) (1997).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>9K. Takada, H. Sakurai, E. Takayama-Muromachi, F. Izumi, R. A. Dilanian, and T. Sasaki, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01450)) **422**, 53 (2003).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>10M. L. Foo, Y. Wang, S. Watauchi, H. W. Zandbergen, T. He, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.247001)* **92**, 247001 (2004).
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>11K. Miyoshi, E. Morikuni, K. Fujiwara, J. Takeuchi, and T. Hamasaki, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.132412)* **69**, 132412 (2004).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>12T. Motohashi, R. Ueda, E. Naujalis, T. Tojo, I. Terasaki, T. Atake, M. Karppinen, and H. Yamauchi, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.064406) **67**, [064406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.064406) (2003).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>13T. Mizokawa, L. H. Tjeng, P. G. Steeneken, N. B. Brookes, I. Tsukada, T. Yamamoto, and K. Uchinokura, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.115104) **64**, [115104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.115104) (2001).
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>14T. Mizokawa, L. H. Tjeng, H.-J. Lin, C. T. Chen, R. Kitawaki, I. Terasaki, S. Lambert, and C. Michel, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.193107) **71**, 193107  $(2005).$  $(2005).$  $(2005).$
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>15M. Z. Hasan, Y. D. Chuang, D. Qian, Y. W. Li, Y. Kong, A. P. Kuprin, A. V. Fedorov, R. Kimmerling, E. Rotenberg, K. Rossnagel, Z. Hussain, H. Koh, N. S. Rogado, M. L. Foo, and R. J. Cava, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.246402) **92**, 246402 (2004).
- 16H. B. Yang, S. C. Wang, A. K. P. Sekharan, H. Matsui, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, T. Takeuchi, J. C. Campuzano, R. Jin, B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, Z. Wang, and H. Ding, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.246403) **92**[, 246403](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.246403) (2004).
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>17H. B. Yang, Z. H. Pan, A. K. P. Sekharan, T. Sato, S. Souma, T. Takahashi, R. Jin, B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, A. V. Fedorov, Z. Wang, and H. Ding, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.146401)* **95**, 146401 (2005).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>18T. A. Hewston and B. Chamberland, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(87)90076-X) **48**, 97 ([1987](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(87)90076-X)), and references cited therein.
- <span id="page-5-14"></span><sup>19</sup> J. van Elp, J. L. Wieland, H. Eskes, P. Kuiper, G. A. Sawatzky, F. M. F. de Groot, and T. S. Turner, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.6090)* 44, 6090 (1991).
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>20M. T. Czyżyk, R. Potze, and G. A. Sawatzky, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.3729) **46**, [3729](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.3729) (1992).
- <span id="page-5-16"></span><sup>21</sup> I. Tomeno and M. Oguchi, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.67.318) **67**, 318 (1998).
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>22M. Ménétrier, I. Saadoune, S. Levasseur, and C. Delmas, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/a900016j)

**[Mater. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/a900016j) 9**, 1135 (1999).

- <span id="page-5-18"></span><sup>23</sup> J. T. Hertz, Q. Huang, T. McQueen, T. Klimczuk, J. W. G. Bos, L. Viciu, and R. J. Cava, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.075119)* **77**, 075119 (2008).
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>24R. E. Schaak, T. Klimczuk, M. L. Foo, and R. J. Cava, [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01877) ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01877)) **424**, 527 (2003).
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>25Y. Wang, N. S. Rogado, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01639) ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01639)) **423**, 425 (2003).
- <span id="page-5-21"></span><sup>26</sup> J. Sugiyama, J. H. Brewer, E. J. Ansaldo, H. Itahara, T. Tani, M. Mikami, Y. Mori, T. Sasaki, S. Hébert, and A. Maignan, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.017602) [Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.017602) **92**, 017602 (2004).
- <span id="page-5-22"></span><sup>27</sup> J. Sugiyama, J. H. Brewer, E. J. Ansaldo, B. Hitti, M. Mikami, Y. Mori, and T. Sasaki, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.214423)* **69**, 214423 (2004).
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>28S. P. Bayrakci, C. Bernhard, D. P. Chen, B. Keimer, R. K. Kremer, P. Lemmens, C. T. Lin, C. Niedermayer, and J. Strempfer, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.100410) **69**, 100410(R) (2004).
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>29A. T. Boothroyd, R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, D. Prabhakaran, L. M. Helme, and C. D. Frost, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.197201)* **92**, 197201 (2004).
- <span id="page-5-25"></span><sup>30</sup> J. Sugiyama, H. Nozaki, J. H. Brewer, E. J. Ansaldo, G. D. Morris, and C. Delmas, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.144424)* **72**, 144424 (2005).
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>31K. Mukai, Y. Ikedo, H. Nozaki, J. Sugiyama, K. Nishiyama, D. Andreica, A. Amato, P. L. Russo, E. J. Ansaldo, J. H. Brewer, K. H. Chow, K. Ariyoshi, and T. Ohzuku, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.087601) **99**, [087601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.087601) (2007).
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>32K. Miyoshi, H. Kondo, M. Miura, C. Iwai, K. Fujiwara, and J. Takeuchi, [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/150/4/042129) 150, 042129 (2009).
- <span id="page-5-28"></span>33K. Miyoshi, C. Iwai, H. Kondo, M. Miura, S. Nishigori, and J. Takeuchi, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.075113)* **82**, 075113 (2010).
- <span id="page-5-29"></span><sup>34</sup> T. Mizokawa and A. Fujimori, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.5368)* **54**, 5368 (1996).
- <span id="page-5-31"></span>35M. A. van Veenendaal and G. A. Sawatzky, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2459) **70**, [2459](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2459) (1993).
- <span id="page-5-32"></span><sup>36</sup> K. Okada and A. Kotani, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.60.772) **60**, 772 (1991).
- <span id="page-5-33"></span>37A. E. Bocquet, T. Mizokawa, T. Saitoh, H. Namatame, and A. Fujimori, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.3771)* **46**, 3771 (1992).
- <span id="page-5-30"></span>38T. Saitoh, T. Mizokawa, A. Fujimori, M. Abbate, Y. Takeda, and M. Takano, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.1290) **56**, 1290 (1997).
- <span id="page-5-34"></span>39D. Qian, L. Wray, D. Hsieh, L. Viciu, R. J. Cava, J. L. Luo, D. Wu, N. L. Wang, and M. Z. Hasan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.186405) **97**, 186405  $(2006).$  $(2006).$  $(2006).$
- <span id="page-5-35"></span><sup>40</sup> J. Geck, S. V. Borisenko, H. Berger, H. Eschrig, J. Fink, M. Knupfer, K. Koepernik, A. Koitzsch, A. A. Kordyuk, V. B. Zabolotnyy, and B. Büchner, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.046403) **99**, 046403  $(2007).$  $(2007).$  $(2007).$
- <span id="page-5-36"></span><sup>41</sup> D. J. Singh, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.13397)* **61**, 13397 (2000).
- <span id="page-5-37"></span> $42$  V. Perebeinos and P. B. Allen, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5178)* **85**, 5178 (2000).
- <span id="page-5-38"></span>43K. M. Shen, F. Ronning, D. H. Lu, W. S. Lee, N. J. C. Ingle, W. Meevasana, F. Baumberger, A. Damascelli, N. P. Armitage, L. L. Miller, Y. Kohsaka, M. Azuma, M. Takano, H. Takagi, and Z.-X. Shen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.267002) **93**, 267002 (2004).
- <span id="page-5-39"></span>44A. Nicolaou, V. Brouet, M. Zacchigna, I. Vobornik, A. Tejeda, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, P. Le Févre, F. Bertran, S. Hébert, H. Muguerra, and D. Grebille, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.056403)* **104**, 056403 (2010).